



PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038
http://www.LaJollaCPA.org
Voicemail: 858.456.7900
info@LaJollaCPA.org

President: Tony Crisafi
Vice President: Joe LaCava
Treasurer: Jim Fitzgerald
Secretary: Helen Boyden

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month
La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Thursday, 5 September 2013

FINAL MINUTES -- Regular Meeting

Trustees Present: Patrick Ahern, Cynthia Bond, Helen Boyden, Tom Brady, Dan Courtney, Tony Crisafi, Janie Emerson, Jim Fitzgerald, Gail Forbes, Joe LaCava, David Little, Nancy Manno, Phil Merten, Myrna Naegle, Bob Steck, Ray Weiss, Frances O'Neill Zimmerman
Absent: Bob Collins

1. Welcome and Call To Order: Tony Crisafi, President, at 6:00 PM

2. Adopt the Agenda

Approved Motion: To approve the agenda as posted (Emerson, Fitzgerald: 12-0-1)

In favor: Ahern, Brady, Emerson, Fitzgerald, Forbes, LaCava, Little, Manno, Merten, Naegle, Steck, Weiss
Abstain: Crisafi

3. Meeting Minutes Review and Approval

Approved Motion: To approve the Minutes of 1 August 2013 (Manno, Emerson: 11-0-2)

In favor: Ahern, Brady, Emerson, Fitzgerald, LaCava, Little, Manno, Merten, Naegle, Steck, Weiss
Abstain: Crisafi, Forbes

4. Elected Officials Reports - Information Only

Council District 1 – City Council President Pro Tem Sherri Lightner

Rep: Erin Demorest, 619.236.7762. City Council President Todd Gloria is now interim mayor but can participate in Council meetings as he desires. **City Council President Pro Tem Sherri Lightner** will be chairing the Council meetings and working with the docket coordinator to prepare City Council meeting agendas. The Special Election for Mayor will be held on Tuesday, November 19, 2013. If necessary a run-off election will be held in January or February 2014. The Bernard-Ticino environmental appeal (LJCPA and LJ Historical Society appellants) will be heard by the City Council on Monday September 23, 2013. The public outreach for the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan revision did not include La Jolla or Pacific Beach even though they were added to the Overflight Boundary zones in the document covered by the DEIR (see item 16). Due to the high altitude of planes overflying PB and LJ, Council District 1 has requested that PB and LJ be removed from the document. PB and LJ have been the subject of noise complaints about government helicopters and private aircraft, but not commercial aircraft from Lindbergh and therefore should not be added to the Overflight Boundary zones. If this is made, then a revised draft EIR will be recirculated. Current comment period has been extended to September 10, 2013. **Member Mike Costello** commented.

39th District, California State Senate - State Senator Marty Block

Rep: Allison Don, 619.645.3133, allison.don@sen.ca.gov distributed the current newsletter. She stated that the current California legislative session ends September 13; all bills must be passed by then or fail. She stated that **Senator Block's SB 527** making San Diego Lifeguards equivalent to the SDPD and SDFD in eligibility for Workers' Compensation has been signed into law by **Governor Brown**.

5. Non-Agenda Public Comment - Issues not on the agenda and within LJCPA jurisdiction, two (2) minutes or less

UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu, <http://physicalplanning.ucsd.edu>, reported that projects mentioned last month are nearing completion. The fall quarter begins on Monday, September 23. The Update for Community Groups is available at <http://physicalplanning.ucsd.edu>.

Member Tim Lucas, Chair of the La Jolla Shores Association, announced that the next meeting of the LJSA would be Wednesday, September 11, the usual second Wednesday following a dark August at 6:30 PM, in Building T-29 (Martin Johnson House) Scripps Institution of Oceanography. Agenda items include wastewater recycling demonstration project, La Jolla Playhouse request to hold a puppet show at water's edge, surfing RFP proposals and efforts to get a park ranger for Kellogg Park.

6. Non-Agenda Items for Trustee Discussion - Issues not on the agenda and within LJCPA jurisdiction, two (2) minutes or less.

Trustee Forbes inquired whether it would be appropriate to read into the record a letter received regarding a project. Since it was on the consent agenda, comment could be read then.

Trustee Merten reported that a Draft MND was available for the Gaxiola project with comment closing on September 24. A public copy was available for view on the back table and a link to it is on the LJCPA website. The applicant has requested a hearing at the LJS PRC September 24 meeting. **Member Lucas** and **Trustee Boyden** also commented.

Trustee Zimmerman commented that the block of Girard between LJ Elementary and Gillispie School was now one lane each way, divided by a concrete curb and through traffic flow was being impeded. Coverage had been in the LJ Light and research determined that T & T had heard this four years ago. Trustee LaCava commented that the one lane situation had been in effect for many years and that the only difference now was that painted traffic control lines had been replaced by concrete curbing. **Trustees Little, Merten** and **Ahern** commented, including child safety issues.

Failed Motion: That the LJCPA President contact Todd Lesser, T & T chair, and ask him to investigate the effect of this construction on traffic. (Little, Zimmerman: 3-9-2)

In favor: Emerson, Little, Naegle

Opposed: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Fitzgerald, Forbes, LaCava, Manno, Merten, Steck

Abstain: Crisafi, Weiss

Motion discussion included whether or not it was appropriate to vote on a non-agenda item. Following vote **President Crisafi** said he would follow up with **T & T Chair Todd Lesser**.

7. Officers' Reports

A. Secretary

Trustee Boyden stated that if you want your attendance recorded today, you should sign in at the back of the room. There are two sign-in lists: one for LJCPA members and a yellow one for guests.

LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business owners at least 18 years of age. Eligible visitors wishing to join the LJCPA need to submit an application, copies of which are available at the sign-in table or on-line at the LJCPA website: www.lajollacpa.org/. We encourage you to join so that you can vote in the Trustee elections and at the Annual Meeting in March.

You are entitled to attend without signing in, but only by providing proof of attendance can you maintain membership or become eligible for election as a trustee. You can become a Member after attending one meeting and must maintain your membership by attending one meeting per year. To qualify as a candidate in an election to become a Trustee, a Member must have documented attendance at three LJCPA meetings in the preceding 12-month period.

If you want to have your attendance recorded without signing in at the back, then hand to the Secretary before the end of the meeting a piece of paper with your printed full name, signature and a statement that you want your attendance recorded.

Please note that members who failed to attend a meeting between March of 2012 and February 2013 (and similar for all time periods) have let their membership lapse and will need to submit another application to be reinstated

B. Treasurer

Trustee Fitzgerald reported that the beginning balance on August 1, 2013 was \$129.54. Income included \$76.00 from donations and \$10.00 from CD sales. Expenses including agenda printing and telephone expenses were \$103.89, for an ending balance on August 31, 2013 of \$111.65, a decrease of \$17.89.

Trustee Fitzgerald commented on the special generosity of the Membership and Trustees and reminded Trustees, Members and guests: LJCPA is a non-profit organization and must rely solely on the generosity of the community and the Trustees. All donations are in cash to preserve anonymity.

8. President's Report –

A. LJCPA Committee Appointments - Action Item

For ratification by trustees - La Jolla DPR committee - Alex Outwater

Approved motion: To appoint Alex Outwater as a LJCPA representative to the DPR Committee. (Emerson, Merten: 13-0-2)

In favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Emerson, Fitzgerald, Forbes, LaCava, Manno, Merten, Naegle, Steck, Weiss, Zimmerman
Abstain: Crisafi, Little

B. Appoint Ad Hoc Subcommittee to study the issue of Short Term / Vacation Rentals in La Jolla.

Trustees Fitzgerald, Brady, Little, Ahern, Steck and Members Outwater and Costello were appointed to this committee.

C. Need someone to take over the LJCPA website – **Trustee LaCava** would like to pass it on having managed it for 5 years.

D. Need someone to run the Membership Table (we are not getting new members) and record attendance.

E. "Proposed amendment to the LJ Community Plan and Local Coastal Program to establish an ESHA and buffer area for the Children's Pool Beach" will be heard by the Planning Commission Agenda on Sept 12th

9. Consent Agenda — Ratify or Reconsider Committee Action

Consent Agenda allows the Trustees to ratify actions of our joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. The public may comment on consent items. Anyone may request that a consent item be pulled for reconsideration and full discussion. Items pulled from this Consent Agenda are automatically trailed to the next LJCPA meeting.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Ione Stiegler, 2nd Mon, 4pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Paul Benton, 2nd & 3rd Tues, 4pm

PRC – LJ Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair Helen Boyden, 4th Tues, 4pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair Todd Lesser, 4th Thurs, 4pm

T & T did not have a quorum for its August meeting and PRC had no projects ready for review.

A. "Sur la Table"

PDO Action: Signage and store front (blade & canopy) as presented, conforms to the PDO.

9-0-0

7643-45 Girard Ave- Proposed tenant improvement and upgrade of the existing building at 7643-45 Girard.

The project is a commercial tenant improvement combining the two existing retail spaces into a single space of 6,577 square feet of retail, cooking classroom area, and accessory spaces

B. Esteban Interiors

PDO Action: Sign conforms to the PDO, asked to return with the property line delineation. 9-0-0

7605 Girard Avenue - Review signage and storefront change

C. Orange Theory La Jolla

PDO Motion: storefront approved 9-0-0

7734 Girard Ave, Suite C- replacement of existing storefront measuring 17'8 x 9'6 (signage separate)

D. Feinswog Residence

DPR Action: Findings CAN be made that project as presented is conformance with the La Jolla Community Plan, with detailed landscaping and architectural design elements to conform to the mass and scale of the established Neighborhood Character. 6-0-1

1250 Rhoda Dr. - CDP to demolish an existing single-family residence and construct a three-story, 5,542 SF single-family residence with detached four car garage with pool cabana and lot line adjustment

Trustee Forbes stated she had received a letter from **Mary Ellen Morgan** stating that her concerns about the Feinswog project had been satisfied.

E. Brucker NDP EMRA

DPR ACTION: Findings CAN be made that project as presented is in conformance with detailed landscaping and architectural design elements to conform to the mass and scale of the established Neighborhood Character and that this committee can support the proposed

Neighborhood Development Permit and the proposed EMRA application for an improvement in the public right-of-way. 6-0-1

6380 La Jolla Scenic Drive S - Neighborhood Development Permit for six-foot masonry walls/fences, two masonry pillars with electrical lighting and mailbox, iron driveway gate, and gate call station pedestal in the public right-of-way.

Approved Motion: To accept the recommendations of the PDO Committee that (A) "Sur la Table": Signage and store front (blade & canopy) as presented, conforms to the PDO; (B) Esteban Interiors: Sign conforms to the PDO, asked to return with the property line delineation; and (C) Orange Theory La Jolla: storefront approved; and to accept the recommendations of the DPR Committee that the findings can be made for: (D) Feinswog Residence: project as presented is in conformance with detailed landscaping and architectural design elements to conform to the mass and scale of the established Neighborhood Character and that this committee can support the proposed Neighborhood Development Permit and the proposed EMRA application for an improvement in the public right-of-way; and (E) Brucker NDP EMRA: project as presented is in conformance with detailed landscaping and architectural design elements to conform to the mass and scale of the established Neighborhood Character and that this committee can support the proposed Neighborhood Development Permit and the proposed EMRA application for an improvement in the public right-of-way and forward the recommendations to the City. (Manno, Fitzgerald: 14-0-1)

In favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Emerson, Fitzgerald, Forbes, LaCava, Little, Manno, Merten, Naegle, Steck, Weiss, Zimmerman
Abstain: Crisafi

10. Reports from Other Advisory Committees - Information only

- A. **Coastal Access and Parking Board** - Meets 1st Tues, 5pm, La Jolla Recreation Center. No report
- B. **Community Planners Committee** – Meets 4th Tues, 7pm, 9192 Topaz Way, San Diego. – Dark in August

11. Henely Residence No. 279093- Action Item

Appeal Deadline: Sept 25th, 2013 / Hearing Officer Hearing date: Sept. 11th, 2013

Whether to appeal Hearing Officer decision to approve an application for a Process Three Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing residence and construct a two-story, an approximate 6,353 square foot single family residence on a 14,300 square foot property. Property is located at 615 Wrelton Drive.

CPA Previous Action (Aug 2013): That the findings are not sufficient for a Coastal Development Permit (Little, Collins: 7-5-3)

Trustee Merten recused and left the room for the entire presentation.

Commenting were: **Member Bob Whitney** and **Trustees Ahern and Costello**.

Approved Motion: To file an appeal if the Hearing Officer approves the project (Manno, Emerson: 8-5-1)

In favor: Brady, Emerson, Little, Manno, Naegle, Steck, Weiss, Zimmerman
Opposed: Ahern, Boyden, Fitzgerald, Forbes, LaCava
Abstain: Crisafi
Recused: Merten

12. Cohen Residence EOT – pulled by Trustee Boyden

8130 La Jolla Shores Drive – Extension of Time for Coastal Development Permit 556216 and Site Development Permit 556217 to demolish an existing 1,690 square foot residence and construct a new 3,842 square foot single family residence with a 753 square foot garage on a 0.13 acre property.

PRC Action (July 2013): Findings cannot be made for an Extension Of Time due to public safety concerns relative to the steepness of the driveway and its impact on the adjacent sidewalk, per 126.0111 paragraph 2.G.1. 3-1-1

Applicant: Bejan Arfaa Architect

Trustee Boyden stated she had pulled the project because the PRC had initially approved the project with conditions; it was returned to the PRC by the LJCPA for revision to correct the conditions. It was then passed unanimously by the PRC and on consent by the LJCPA.

The applicant stated that no changes had been made to the project since approval by the LJCPA.

A number of trustees were concerned about the safety/visibility considerations due to the driveway slope as it approached the heavily used sidewalk on La Jolla Shores Drive. Others noted that the project had been unanimously approved by LJSPRC and LJCPA and the driveway design had been accepted. Trustee Merten had the opportunity to review the plans prior to the meeting and stated the driveway met the conditions of the SDMC for the slope of the driveway and visibility triangles, but he still had safety concerns. Discussion whether the Public Safety findings for an EOT related to the driveway design. Others commenting included: **Members Bob Whitney, Tim Lucas and Mike Costello and Trustees LaCava, Manno, Emerson, Forbes, Zimmerman, Brady, Fitzgerald, Little and President Crisafi.**

Approved Motion: That the findings can be made for an Extension of Time. (LaCava, Fitzgerald: 8-7-1)

In favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Fitzgerald, LaCava, Manno, Merten, Weiss

Opposed: Bond, Emerson, Forbes, Little, Naegle, Steck, Zimmerman

Abstain: Crisafi

13. Sudberry Residence – pulled by Trustee Emerson

8039 Calle del Cielo - Demolition of existing SFR located at 8053 Calle del Cielo plus a portion of existing SFR located at 8039 Calle del Cielo. Construct a single SFR over walk- in basement and related site improvements over both lots (total lot size, 44,140 sf; GFA= 17,629 sf). Seeking an amendment to CDP and SDP.

PRC Action (July 2013): The findings can be made for an amendment to Coastal Development Permit and a Site Development Permit based on plans presented, dated 7-23-2013. 4-1-0

Applicant: Haley Duke, Island Architects

Presented by Haley Duke and Tony Crisafi. President Crisafi recused himself and left the room after the presentation: **Vice President LaCava chaired for this item.**

Trustee Emerson stated that she had pulled the project because of the many issues raised by a neighbor and PRC committee members and she thought it ought to have a full hearing before the LJCPA. **Ms. Duke** stated that no changes had been made to the project since the last hearing by the LJSPRC.

Members Bob Whitney and Tim Lucas commented; **Anu Delouri**, representing the owner, UCSD, read a letter stating that UCSD's initial concerns were addressed by the applicant and UCSD was confident that the terms, mostly dealing with construction period issues, would be reached with a signed mutual agreement and UCSD would not participate in the review process at level of subsequent review; former owner, **Mrs. McMurray** commented on some of her previous concerns upon query by **Trustee Naegle.**

The presentation included comparative views of the current project and the previously approved remodel of 8039 Calle del Cielo, elevations, Public View from Little Street with project overlaid on a current photograph, display of footprints of building on nearby properties, comparison of FARs, setback, etc. of nearby properties, and diagram of street elevations of adjacent lots and properties. The total square footage including basement, covered terrace and subterranean garage will be 17,875 sf, without these, the habitable square footage will be 13,500 sf. The increase in front setbacks from the existing properties at 8039 and 8053 was shown. There also had been an increase in the north setback and the increase in the second story setback on the south side since the initial presentation to the PRC.

Trustee Little inquired about whether the fill behind the retaining walls at the west elevation of the property had altered the datum point of the property. **Trustee Merten** clarified that, whatever the grade level had been at the initial development of the property, all datum points have been established as those that existed in 1972. Other trustees commenting, including expressing satisfaction with the compatibility with nearby properties, were **Trustees Zimmerman, Steck, Emerson, and Forbes.**

Approved motion: That the findings can be made for an amendment to the CDP and SDP. (Merten, Manno: 13-0-3)

In favor: Ahern, Bond, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Emerson, Fitzgerald, Forbes, Manno, Merten, Steck, Weiss, Zimmerman

Abstain: LaCava, Little, Naegle

Recuse: Crisafi

14. Bonair Residence – full review at request of applicant

754-758 Bonair St. - Coastal Development Permit, Tentative Map (originally a Map Waiver) & Variance to demolish an existing duplex and construct three, 3-story, detached single family residences ranging from 1,929 square feet to 2,185 square feet on a 9,225 square property. The variance is to maintain two curb cuts, where only one would be allowed. *DPR Action (Aug. 2013) Findings CAN NOT be made that project as presented is conformance with the La Jolla Community Plan, nor is it consistent with the established Neighborhood Character in terms of mass and scale. Further, the driveways are too close together and the committee CAN NOT support the proposed Variance application. 5-0-1*
sDPR Action (Aug. 2013): Findings CAN NOT be made that project as presented is conformance with the established Neighborhood Character: the bulk and scale of the proposed units is much larger than those in the immediate neighborhood, the second driveway is too close, and the overall scale of development is too large. 5-0-1

Applicant: Dan Linn

Trustee Fitzgerald disclosed that he had been contacted by a project proponent about what procedure to follow to have the project re-reviewed in a timely fashion and he had provided advice. **Trustee Ahern** said he had gathered information on the project. It was not necessary for either to recuse or abstain.

Presented by **Trustee Joe LaCava** who recused and left the room after making the presentation, except for a return to answer a procedural question.

Trustee LaCava stated that he was presenting the project differently, but that the only changes to the project from what the DPR had seen were removing the third story element, reducing the height by 5 feet from 30' to 25', and enhancing the landscaping. He stated that **Paul Benton, DPR Chair**, had advised that the changes were not sufficient to allow for a new presentation at DPR. **Trustee LaCava** made presentations of the concentration of multi-unit residences, condos, multi-story residences, designating those with more than two units or stories within the 300' radius on Bonair Street, Bonair Place and Bonair Way. He stressed that dividing the project into three separate units had the goal of emulating the single family streetscape on Bonair Street and showed a proposed streetscape on the north side of Bonair Street. Neighbors on Bonair Street had been canvassed and those reached had been in favor and several had written letters in favor. The project abuts the wide western end of the bike path and photo overviews were shown. Site and landscaping plans and full-color, perspective renderings of the project were shown. All elements of the SDMC are adhered to except for the need for a variance for two driveways on this 90' street frontage. Line drawings of how the three buildings fit in the allowable building envelope were presented as well as a full-color, perspective rendering of the single driveway serving the building at the lowest elevation plus the joint-driveway cut for the units at the upper level.

Michael Sim, residing at 747 Bonair Way, spoke in opposition, saying the buildings were too large particularly as viewed from his street and the bike path and showing a photo of the current building with a 30' pole overlaid.

Contractor Colin Seid of 7141 Esterel spoke approvingly of the project design—that it modeled desirable future development in La Jolla.

Trustees Weiss and Little expressed concern about the process—not returning the project to DPR. **Trustee LaCava** stated that during his tenure as chair and trustee, these issues had been handled in a number of different ways, including some recently in just this manner.

Trustees Zimmerman, Brady, Ahern, Manno, Merten, Fitzgerald spoke favorably of the project. **Trustee Courtney** questioned the possible biased reaction of trustees to presentations made by LJCPA leaders.

Approved Motion: That the findings can be made for a Coastal Development Permit, Tentative Map and Variance. (Manno, Fitzgerald: 11-4-1)

In favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Forbes, Manno, Merten, Naegle, Steck, Zimmerman

Opposed: Bond, Emerson, Little, Weiss

Abstain: Crisafi

Recused: LaCava

15. La Jolla CPA Input to the City's FY2015 Public Infrastructure Budget- Information Only. Action is expected to be taken at the September LJCPA meeting. Review & recommend projects that have not yet been funded, only partially funded and thus delayed, or have yet to be taken up by the City.

References:

<http://www.lajollacpa.org/cip.html>

http://docs.sandiego.gov/councilcomm_agendas_attach/2013/Infra_130520_7.pdf

<http://www.sandiego.gov/iba/pdf/cipguidefullversion.pdf>

<http://www.sandiego.gov/iba/pdf/cipguidequickversion.pdf>

Chaired by **Vice President LaCava** in August when he stated that the projects recommended by planning groups last year that were funded in the City's FY2014 budget were very small projects. This year the city's General Fund which is the primary fund for infrastructure is -\$100,000. The projects recommended by LJCPA last year are cited in the first link above. Trustees last month requested approximate costs for last year's recommendations and were e-mailed details. Even though there appears to be no funding available, it is always good to have projects in the wings.

Trustee LaCava stated that some of the items on the list submitted by La Jolla Parks and Beaches this year duplicate last year's submissions.

Approved Motion: To submit last year's list in the same priority order (Fitzgerald, Ahern: 12-2-1)

In favor: Bond, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Emerson, Fitzgerald, LaCava, Manno, Merten, Steck, Weiss, Zimmerman

Opposed: Forbes, Little

Abstain: Crisafi

Approved Motion: To submit the five projects suggested at July and August 2013 LJCPA meetings (Little, Brady: 10-5-1)

In favor: Bond, Boyden, Brady, Fitzgerald, LaCava, Little, Manno, Merten, Naegle, Zimmerman

Opposed: Courtney, Emerson, Forbes, Steck, Weiss

Abstain: Crisafi

Approved Motion: To submit Items 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13 from the Input to LJCPA from La Jolla Parks and Beaches. (LaCava, Little: 14-1-1)

In favor: Bond, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Emerson, Fitzgerald, LaCava, Little, Manno, Naegle, Merten, Steck, Weiss, Zimmerman

Opposed: Forbes

Abstain: Crisafi

See attached lists.

16. Plan for San Diego International Airport

Action Item - The proposed SDIA ALUCP would regulate development of new residential dwellings, commercial and industrial structures, and other noise- or risk-sensitive land uses. The ALUCP proposes a Overflight Area Boundary encompassing the south portion of La Jolla from Turquoise to Nautilus. "The proposed ALUCP would require the recordation of an Overflight Agreement document for any local agency approval of new residential development with the overflight boundary." Comment period has been extended from August 26 to September 10 at the request of CM Sherri Lightner.

DEIR: http://www.san.org/documents/land_use/13/eir/SDIA_ALUCP_Draft_EIR_13July.pdf

APPENDICES: http://www.san.org/documents/land_use/13/eir/SDIA_ALUCP_Draft_EIR_13July_appendices.pdf

Approved Motion: Authorize the LJCPA President to send the attached two letters to the SDCRAA in response to the DEIR for the ALUCP and opposing the inclusion of La Jolla in the Overflight Area Boundary. (LaCava, Emerson: 11-1-1).

In favor: Bond, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Emerson, Fitzgerald, Forbes, LaCava, Little, Manno, Steck, Zimmerman

Opposed: Merten

Abstain: Crisafi

17. Adjourn at 9:32 PM to next Regular Monthly Meeting, October 3, 2013, 6:00 pm.

Attachment
APPROVED By LJCPA Hearing: September 5, 2013, Agenda Item #16
FY2015 Capital Improvement Program - La Jolla Community Plan Area
Suggested Projects from July and August LJCPA Meetings.

Projects Previously Recommended November 2012

Parks & Recreation

1. Coast Boulevard Sidewalk Improvements at Children's Pool
(Restore and enhancement of last remaining segment, endorsed by LJCPA in March 2012)
2. Scripps Park Restoration
(Restoration of Scripps Park as detailed in the 2009 plan)
3. South Coast Boulevard Park (2008 plan)
(Enhancement of park and walking path along Coast Boulevard from Climbing Wall to its southerly end. AKA "Jim Neri Plan". AKA Cuvier Park, Hospital Point & Wedding Bowl.)
4. Coast Walk Parking Feasibility Study
(Restoration of up to 6 parking spaces on Coast Walk. Endorsed by LJCPA, Oct 2011 and by LJTC, Dec 2011)

Transportation

1. Torrey Pines Road Corridor – Phase 1 (CIP S00877, S00613)
(Remove barriers in the north sidewalk from the Throat to the Village (LJCPA, Jul 2011); hillside slope stabilization on south side between Roseland and Calle Juela)
2. Torrey Pines Road Corridor – Phase 2
(Segment 4 improvements as approved by LJCPA, Jul 2011)
3. Torrey Pines Road Corridor – Phase 3
(Segments 1, 2 & 3 pursuant to the City of San Diego's 2011 Torrey Pines Road Preliminary Project Plan)
4. Prospect Street Belvedere at Girard Avenue
(Convert northbound Prospect between Girard and Herschel to pedestrian only; convert southbound Prospect to two-way traffic. Endorsed by LJCPA and LJVMA. Project LJ-T4 on community facilities finance plan.)
5. Sidewalk at Rock Park (aka La Jolla Hermosa Park)
(Connect sidewalks on W side of Chelsea Avenue S of Cam de la Costa, supported by BRCC but not previously voted on by LJCPA)

Projects Suggested at July and August 2013 LJCPA Meetings

1. Corner of La Jolla Boulevard and Colima. Install missing sidewalk at northeast corner.
2. Restore sidewalks in the Village.
3. Install sidewalk on La Jolla Scenic North along Pottery Canyon Park. During the wet season walkers are forced to walk in the street. One or both sides.
4. Reduce curb height at Olivetas/Coast Blvd/Prospect intersection.
5. Move fence on rocks at Cove to possibly alleviate stench formation

ATTACHMENT

As approved by LJCPA, Sep 5, 2013. Agenda Item #15

City's FY2015 Public Infrastructure Budget (Capital Improvement Plan - CIP)

Input to LJCPA from LJP&B

- 6. Fay Avenue Bike Path: Update Development Plan and Implement,**
New proposed this year

- 8. La Jolla Pkwy/Mt Soledad Erosion Control - B10089,**
New from City this year

- 10. Charlotte Park General Development Plan,**
from P & R Dpt. Unfunded Park Improvements List (2012)

- 11. Coastal Area Park Signage,**
from P & R Dpt. Unfunded Park Improvements List (2012)

- 12. L. J. Heights Natural Park - reservoir replacement: grade, replant - B11070,**

- 13. Widen Narrow Sidewalk opposite 939 Coast Blvd.**
New proposed this year

ATTACHMENT

SDCRAA
P.O Box 82776
San Diego, CA 92138-2776

RE: Notice of Availability Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR)
for the San Diego International Airport – Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP)
SCH No. 2013031060 - SDCRAA # EIR-13-01

To Whom It May Concern,

Please accept this letter as an official comment on the subject draft EIR.

Simply put the draft EIR is inadequate; it must be revised and recirculated.

The draft EIR discloses that a new overlay zone will be placed on properties within the La Jolla community (dEIR, Exhibit 2-8.) And yet the 348 pages of the draft EIR is silent on the specific reasons for the new zone in the La Jolla community, the potential impacts to the community, and mitigation of said impacts.

Simply referring to the ALUCP (Footnote 37, p. 2-35) is inadequate; the draft EIR must fully disclose and discuss the basis for the overlay zone.

The simplistic language of Section 2.4.34 fails to disclose the implications of a new overlay zone and its potential impacts.

Despite the proposed new overlay zone, “La Jolla” is not mentioned once in the draft EIR despite the proposed overlay zone. Similarly “La Jolla” is not mentioned once in Appendix A. How can an EIR be deemed adequate when it reveals the Project will impose changes on a community and then fails to discuss that change and its potential impacts?

The draft EIR is silent on whether the proposed overlay zone is compatible with the La Jolla Community Plan and Local Coastal Program Land Use Plan. The failure to include such analysis prevents thoughtful consideration by the decision maker and will prevent the California Coastal Commission from making an informed decision on the appropriateness of the ALUCP proposal (dEIR, Section 2.5.2.)

The rationale that new noise overlay zones are necessary because of history of complaints is not justified nor a rational approach. Further, it will accomplish nothing except to confuse residents and future homebuyers.

As active community leaders, we know that noise complaints are filed for a variety of aircraft that are not associated with the commercial operations out of SDIA. For example, La Jolla experiences low flying military helicopters, Homeland Security helicopters, banner-towing private planes, private small planes, etc.

Complaints of military and private planes have nothing to do with commercial operations out of SDIA. The Noise Contour Map in Exhibit 2-5 demonstrates this.

Unless there are sustained complaints directly related to commercial flights out of SDIA the ALUCP for SDIA is not the place to address aircraft originating from other airports (private, commercial, or military.)

If noise complaints are indeed directly related to SDIA those should be fully documented and disclosed in the draft EIR so that affected parties and decision makers may weigh the evidence and see if the proposed overlay zone are justified.

Again, for all the reasons stated above, the draft EIR is inadequate; it must be revised and recirculated.

Please add the LJCPA to the notification list of future revisions and hearings on the draft EIR and ALUCP.

Regards,
La Jolla Community Planning Association

ATTACHMENT

SDCRAA
P.O Box 82776
San Diego, CA 92138-2776

RE: San Diego International Airport – Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP)

To Whom It May Concern,

The La Jolla Community Planning Association is recognized by the City of San Diego as the land use and planning voice for the community of La Jolla. At an official meeting on September 5, 2013 the LJCPA voted ____ to ____ to request that the Airport Authority remove La Jolla from the Overflight Area Boundary as depicted on Exhibit 2-8 of the dEIR.

We cite as evidence that there is no need for any part of La Jolla to be included within the Overflight Area Boundary based on the following from your documents:

- the ALUCP and dEIR indicate that La Jolla is not subject to significant daily flight operations/overflight from SDIA
- La Jolla is located entirely outside of the SDIA ALUCP's mapped noise impact area (DEIR Exhibit 2-5 Noise Contour Map).
- DEIR Section 2.4.3.4 states that the overflight boundary is "based on areas commonly overflown by aircraft at less than 3,000 feet above mean sea level (MSL) and areas within which noise complaints have been registered since 2004." However, SDIA ALUCP Exhibit E5-1 (Overflight Indicators) indicates that there are no average daily operations below 3,000 feet MSL in that area.
- There have been less than 30 noise complaints filed by the La Jolla and Pacific Beach communities combined between 2004 and 2009 with no evidence from the Airport Authority that the complaints had anything to do with flights from SDIA.

In other words, the ALUCP data and analysis does not appear to support inclusion that La Jolla is subject to overflights from SDIA and therefore there is no rationale for including La Jolla within the Overflight Area Boundary.

Again, the LJCPA requests that the Airport Authority remove La Jolla from the Overflight Area Boundary as depicted on Exhibit 2-8 of the dEIR.

Please add the LJCPA to the notification list of future revisions and hearings on the draft EIR and ALUCP.

Regards,
La Jolla Community Planning Association

Attachment
APPROVED By LJCPA Hearing: September 5, 2013, Agenda Item #16
FY2015 Capital Improvement Program - La Jolla Community Plan Area
Suggested Projects from July and August LJCPA Meetings.

Projects Previously Recommended November 2012

Parks & Recreation

1. Coast Boulevard Sidewalk Improvements at Children's Pool
(Restore and enhancement of last remaining segment, endorsed by LJCPA in March 2012)
2. Scripps Park Restoration
(Restoration of Scripps Park as detailed in the 2009 plan)
3. South Coast Boulevard Park (2008 plan)
(Enhancement of park and walking path along Coast Boulevard from Climbing Wall to its southerly end. AKA "Jim Neri Plan". AKA Cuvier Park, Hospital Point & Wedding Bowl.)
4. Coast Walk Parking Feasibility Study
(Restoration of up to 6 parking spaces on Coast Walk. Endorsed by LJCPA, Oct 2011 and by LJTC, Dec 2011)

Transportation

1. Torrey Pines Road Corridor – Phase 1 (CIP S00877, S00613)
(Remove barriers in the north sidewalk from the Throat to the Village (LJCPA, Jul 2011); hillside slope stabilization on south side between Roseland and Calle Juela)
2. Torrey Pines Road Corridor – Phase 2
(Segment 4 improvements as approved by LJCPA, Jul 2011)
3. Torrey Pines Road Corridor – Phase 3
(Segments 1, 2 & 3 pursuant to the City of San Diego's 2011 Torrey Pines Road Preliminary Project Plan)
4. Prospect Street Belvedere at Girard Avenue
(Convert northbound Prospect between Girard and Herschel to pedestrian only; convert southbound Prospect to two-way traffic. Endorsed by LJCPA and LJVMA. Project LJ-T4 on community facilities finance plan.)
5. Sidewalk at Rock Park (aka La Jolla Hermosa Park)
(Connect sidewalks on W side of Chelsea Avenue S of Cam de la Costa, supported by BRCC but not previously voted on by LJCPA)

Projects Suggested at July and August 2013 LJCPA Meetings

1. Corner of La Jolla Boulevard and Colima. Install missing sidewalk at northeast corner.
2. Restore sidewalks in the Village.
3. Install sidewalk on La Jolla Scenic North along Pottery Canyon Park. During the wet season walkers are forced to walk in the street. One or both sides.
4. Reduce curb height at Olivetas/Coast Blvd/Prospect intersection.
5. Move fence on rocks at Cove to possibly alleviate stench formation

ATTACHMENT

As approved by LJCPA, Sep 5, 2013. Agenda Item #15

City's FY2015 Public Infrastructure Budget (Capital Improvement Plan - CIP)

Input to LJCPA from LJP&B

- 6. Fay Avenue Bike Path: Update Development Plan and Implement,**
New proposed this year

- 8. La Jolla Pkwy/Mt Soledad Erosion Control - B10089,**
New from City this year

- 10. Charlotte Park General Development Plan,**
from P & R Dpt. Unfunded Park Improvements List (2012)

- 11. Coastal Area Park Signage,**
from P & R Dpt. Unfunded Park Improvements List (2012)

- 12. L. J. Heights Natural Park - reservoir replacement: grade, replant - B11070,**

- 13. Widen Narrow Sidewalk opposite 939 Coast Blvd.**
New proposed this year

ATTACHMENT

SDCRAA
P.O Box 82776
San Diego, CA 92138-2776

RE: Notice of Availability Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR)
for the San Diego International Airport – Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP)
SCH No. 2013031060 - SDCRAA # EIR-13-01

To Whom It May Concern,

Please accept this letter as an official comment on the subject draft EIR.

Simply put the draft EIR is inadequate; it must be revised and recirculated.

The draft EIR discloses that a new overlay zone will be placed on properties within the La Jolla community (dEIR, Exhibit 2-8.) And yet the 348 pages of the draft EIR is silent on the specific reasons for the new zone in the La Jolla community, the potential impacts to the community, and mitigation of said impacts.

Simply referring to the ALUCP (Footnote 37, p. 2-35) is inadequate; the draft EIR must fully disclose and discuss the basis for the overlay zone.

The simplistic language of Section 2.4.34 fails to disclose the implications of a new overlay zone and its potential impacts.

Despite the proposed new overlay zone, “La Jolla” is not mentioned once in the draft EIR despite the proposed overlay zone. Similarly “La Jolla” is not mentioned once in Appendix A. How can an EIR be deemed adequate when it reveals the Project will impose changes on a community and then fails to discuss that change and its potential impacts?

The draft EIR is silent on whether the proposed overlay zone is compatible with the La Jolla Community Plan and Local Coastal Program Land Use Plan. The failure to include such analysis prevents thoughtful consideration by the decision maker and will prevent the California Coastal Commission from making an informed decision on the appropriateness of the ALUCP proposal (dEIR, Section 2.5.2.)

The rationale that new noise overlay zones are necessary because of history of complaints is not justified nor a rational approach. Further, it will accomplish nothing except to confuse residents and future homebuyers.

As active community leaders, we know that noise complaints are filed for a variety of aircraft that are not associated with the commercial operations out of SDIA. For example, La Jolla experiences low flying military helicopters, Homeland Security helicopters, banner-towing private planes, private small planes, etc.

Complaints of military and private planes have nothing to do with commercial operations out of SDIA. The Noise Contour Map in Exhibit 2-5 demonstrates this.

Unless there are sustained complaints directly related to commercial flights out of SDIA the ALUCP for SDIA is not the place to address aircraft originating from other airports (private, commercial, or military.)

If noise complaints are indeed directly related to SDIA those should be fully documented and disclosed in the draft EIR so that affected parties and decision makers may weigh the evidence and see if the proposed overlay zone are justified.

Again, for all the reasons stated above, the draft EIR is inadequate; it must be revised and recirculated.

Please add the LJCPA to the notification list of future revisions and hearings on the draft EIR and ALUCP.

Regards,
La Jolla Community Planning Association

ATTACHMENT

SDCRAA
P.O Box 82776
San Diego, CA 92138-2776

RE: San Diego International Airport – Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP)

To Whom It May Concern,

The La Jolla Community Planning Association is recognized by the City of San Diego as the land use and planning voice for the community of La Jolla. At an official meeting on September 5, 2013 the LJCPA voted ____ to ____ to request that the Airport Authority remove La Jolla from the Overflight Area Boundary as depicted on Exhibit 2-8 of the dEIR.

We cite as evidence that there is no need for any part of La Jolla to be included within the Overflight Area Boundary based on the following from your documents:

- the ALUCP and dEIR indicate that La Jolla is not subject to significant daily flight operations/overflight from SDIA
- La Jolla is located entirely outside of the SDIA ALUCP's mapped noise impact area (DEIR Exhibit 2-5 Noise Contour Map).
- DEIR Section 2.4.3.4 states that the overflight boundary is "based on areas commonly overflown by aircraft at less than 3,000 feet above mean sea level (MSL) and areas within which noise complaints have been registered since 2004." However, SDIA ALUCP Exhibit E5-1 (Overflight Indicators) indicates that there are no average daily operations below 3,000 feet MSL in that area.
- There have been less than 30 noise complaints filed by the La Jolla and Pacific Beach communities combined between 2004 and 2009 with no evidence from the Airport Authority that the complaints had anything to do with flights from SDIA.

In other words, the ALUCP data and analysis does not appear to support inclusion that La Jolla is subject to overflights from SDIA and therefore there is no rationale for including La Jolla within the Overflight Area Boundary.

Again, the LJCPA requests that the Airport Authority remove La Jolla from the Overflight Area Boundary as depicted on Exhibit 2-8 of the dEIR.

Please add the LJCPA to the notification list of future revisions and hearings on the draft EIR and ALUCP.

Regards,
La Jolla Community Planning Association