## LA JOLLA COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee Agenda, Tuesday, August 28, 2007 4:00 p.m. La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect St., La Jolla, CA

## **1. GREENBOIM RESIDENCE (***ORIGINALLY ON JULY AGENDA*) PROJECT NUMBER: SDP 126933

TYPE OF STRUCTURE: Single Family ResidenceLOCATION: 8051 La Jolla Scenic Dr.PLANNER: Patrick HooperPh: 619.557-7992OWNERS REP: Brian LongmorePh: 858-603-9478Email: brian@permitsolutions.com

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Addition of 1,940 s.f. to first floor and 99 s.f. to second floor existing 3,242 s.f. main house. Also, a 232 s.f. addition to an existing 674 s.f. garage and a 240 s.f. addition to an existing 360 s.f. guest house.

Lot Size: 62,290 s.f. Percent of lot covered: 10.3% Floor area ratio: 11% Height: 24 ft. Front yard setback: 47'-8" Side yard setback: 7' at main house, all same as existing Percent of green softscape: 30% Off street parking: 2 spaces in garage Other: All materials and finishes to match existing.

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION: one & two story single family residences

NEIGHBORHOOD REVIEW: N/A

ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO THE PDO:

**COMMENTS:** Please provide color and material board and neighborhood photos. If applicable, please provide the neighborhood review decision.

Motion: Naegle – Findings can be made to support project  $2^{nd}$  – Doolittle Vote: In favor 4 – 0 - 0

La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee Agenda: Tuesday, August 28, 2007 Page 2

MCGOWAN RESIDENCE
 PROJECT NUMBER: CDP & SDP 126830
 TYPE OF STRUCTURE: Single Family Residence
 LOCATION: 7909 Roseland Dr.
 PLANNER: John Fisher
 Ph: 619-446-5231
 Email: jsfisher@sandiego.gov
 OWNERS REP: Tim Golba/Golba Architecture Ph: 619-231-9905
 Email: tgolba@golba.com

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Remodel including first and second story additions to an existing single story 1,543 s.f. single family residence.

Lot Size: 7,849 s.f. Percent of lot covered: .36 Floor area ratio: .49 Height: 27'-4" Front yard setback: 10'-0" min, 17'-0" average Side yard setback: 6'-0" western side yard existing, 58'-0" eastern side yard Percent of green softscape: 45% Off street parking: yes, 553 s.f. Other: 553 s.f. 3 car garage 2,253 s.f. first floor 1,599 s.f. second floor 193 s.f. decks 341 s.f. roof top deck

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION: single family residences NEIGHBORHOOD REVIEW: none required ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO THE PDO:

**COMMENTS:** Please provide color and material board and neighborhood photos. If applicable, please provide the neighborhood review decision.

Motion: Naegle – Findings can be made to support project with the condition that the access to the roof deck and the railing/parapet wall for the deck be modified to be more aesthetically pleasing.  $2^{nd}$  – Doolittle Vote: In favor 4 – 0 - 0 La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee Agenda: Tuesday, August 28, 2007 Page 3

## 3. HRONOPOULOS RESIDENCE

PROJECT NUMBER: SDP 132520 TYPE OF STRUCTURE: Single Family Residence LOCATION: 8216 Caminito Maritimo, La Jolla Woods PLANNER: Farah Mahzari Ph: 619-446-5360 OWNERS REP: Don Edson Architect Ph: 858-452-1860

Email: fmahzari@sandiego.gov Email:don@donedson.com

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Remodel and additions (1,352 s.f.) to existing 2 story residence. New roof (roof to be lowered as part of the project), new exterior finish, new wood doors and windows. New pool and associated retaining walls. New landscaping.

Lot Size: 7,950 s.f. Percent of lot covered: .40 Floor area ratio: .78 (.58 without phantom floor) Height: 24'-6" Front yard setback: 14'-0" Side yard setback: 1'-6", 15'-0" Percent of green softscape: 31% Off street parking: 3 Other:

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION: One & two story detached single family condominiums built in the mid. 1970s. FARs range .34 and .92. Most homes are stucco exterior with concrete tile roof. All homes are built to or within 6" of neighboring lots side yard property line.

NEIGHBORHOOD REVIEW: Project has been approved by the La Jolla Woods HOA. ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO THE PDO:

1. FAR of .78 within range of neighborhood but larger than average.

2. Project is consistent with setbacks of neighborhood.

**COMMENTS:** Please provide color and material board and neighborhood photos. If applicable, please provide the neighborhood review decision.

Motion: Doolittle – Findings can be made to support project  $2^{nd}$  – Naegle Vote: In favor 3 – 0 – 1

Lightner – Abstained from voting on grounds that not enough information was presented to make an informed decision. In particular, this parcel is in a Planned Residential Development and the applicant has encroached into the Open Space easement, apparently without permits. Why isn't a Planned Development Permit or an amendment to the original PRD permit needed?