

**MINUTES OF THE LA JOLLA
PLANNED DISTRICT ORDINANCE COMMITTEE
DECEMBER 14, 2009 (Unapproved)**

Present: J. Fitzgerald, (LJTC), C. Hasson (LJTC), D. Marengo (PLJ), I. Stiegler (BRCC, Chair), J. Clark (PLJ); J. Parker (BRCC), T. Underwood (PLJ), R. Hill (LJTC), O. Gabsch (CPA), G. Rasmussen (CPA), J. Berol (CPA). A quorum was established at 4 pm.

In public comment, John Berol, speaking as an individual, stated his opinion about whether the PDO Committee could become a resource to City Code Enforcement officials (for purposes of PDO issue enforcement) just as it is a resource to City Development Services officials. This discussion was memorialized by Mr. Berol in a "Memo of Public Comments..." dated 12.14.09. This memo is attached to these minutes.

The Jordon Residence matter, appearing on today's agenda, has been continued to the January meeting, at the request of the applicant.

The September and November Minutes were requested to be revised in one respect: Each refers to Jim Fitzgerald as representing the Community Planning Association. Mr. Fitzgerald is a delegate to the PDO Committee from the La Jolla Town Council, so each of these minutes were approved with those changes: As to both months, by Fitzgerald/Parker, unanimous; with 2 abstentions as to October.

Chair's Report

Joe La Cava had informed I. Stiegler that it has not been the policy of the PDO Committee to obtain the City's first cycle letter before considering projects. Since the DPR Committee's recommendations relies on this committee for information. I. Stiegler would like the 1st cycle information to be required, since it is published for the Committees' benefit, so that applicants are not caught between recommendations of 2 committees, and so that the PDO can better perform one of its functions—to guide applicants. Some members indicated these could be considered depending on the project or whether the PDO Committee could assist the applicant to focus and come in earlier than DPR hearing, for a more efficient process. Thus, although not the subject of a formal motion, the policy will be that when an item appears on the agenda for informational purposes, the first cycle letter is not required. **By motion Marengo/Fitzgerald, unanimous, the First Cycle Letter is required on action items.**

A discussion ensued as to whether this committee should comment when it perceives applications do not comport with ordinances other than the PDO. Approvals "with conditions" are not allowed under CPA policies any longer. J. Berol believes there is discretionary review under the PDO, since the PDO Charter refers to that. It was determined that if there are comments on applications that do not comport with codes other than the PDO, these comments should be contained in these Minutes, but that PDO action items should be jurisdictional and confined to PDO deviations.

Herschel Retail (7836 Herschel Ave) Agenda Item

This proposed remodel of the toy store on Herschel is for 1-2 retail units. There will be storage in the back. The façade will be remodeled with flat cut flagstone, recessed dark metal window frames, clear windows and vestibules. It was recommended that the metal frames be flat, dark gray powder coated finish to comport with the PDO (no shiny metal). **Motion to approve project with a warm gray matt at the window frames: Rasmussen/Fitzgerald unanimous.** The applicant was also advised of the procedure for approval by the CPA.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.
Respectfully Submitted

Glen Rasmussen, Secretary